arXiv:1409.4229v1 [math.DS] 15 Sep 2014

MEAGERNESS OF THE SET OF COMPACT LEAVES FOR TRANSVERSELY HOLOMORPHIC FOLIATIONS

BRUNO SCARDUA

1. Introduction

Some of the most important important aspects of the geometry of a foliation are linked to its set of compact leaves. This is subject of remarkable achievements like the celebrated stability theorems of Reeb ([6, 9, 4]). Motivated by these we ask about the largeness of the set of compact leaves. One important question in this direction is the following: *If a codimension one smooth foliation on a compact manifold has infinitely many compact leaves, then is it true that all leaves are compact?* The answer is clearly no, but this is true for (transversely) real analytic foliations of codimension one on compact manifolds ([7]). On the other hand, there are versions of Reeb stability results for the class of *holomorphic* foliations ([1]). In the holomorphic framework, it is proved in [2] that a (non-singular) transversely holomorphic codimension one on a compact connected manifold admitting infinitely many compact leaves exhibits a transversely meromorphic first integral. The problem of bounding the number of closed leaves of a holomorphic foliation is known (at least in the complex algebraic framework) as *Jouanolou's problem*, thanks to the pioneering results in [8] and has a wide range of contributions and applications in the Algebraic setting.

Motivated by the above discussion, in [5], we focus on the problem of existence of a suitable compact leaf under the hypothesis of existence of a positive measure set of of compact leaves. In this paper we pursue the study of the structure of the set of compact leaves, by proving its meagerness provided that there are no stable compact leaves. We state it as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a transversely holomorphic foliation on a connected complex manifold M. Denote by $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) \subset M$ the subset of compact leaves of $\mathcal{F}$. Then we have two possibilities:*

(i) *$\mathcal{F}$ has some compact leaf with finite holonomy group and therefore $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ contains some open subset.*
(ii) *The set $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ is meager. Indeed, $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ is contained in a countable union of proper analytic subsets of M.*

Recall that a subset $X \subset M$ is called *meager* if it is contained in a countable union of closed subsets with empty interior in M. Equivalently, its complementary $M \setminus X$ is *residual*, meaning that it contains a countable intersection of dense open subsets of M. A compact leaf with finite holonomy group will be called *stable* (cf. [6]). In view of the Reeb local stability theorem ([4, 6, 9], a stable leaf always belongs to the interior of the set of $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$, union of compact leaves, therefore Theorem 1.1 can be stated as:

A transversely holomorphic foliation on a compact complex manifold, exhibits a compact stable leaf if and only if the set of compact leaves is not a meager subset of the manifold.

The corresponding version for groups of diffeomorphisms of a complex manifold is:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(F)$ be a subgroup of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of a complex connected manifold F. Denote by $\Omega(G)$ the subset of points $x \in F$ such that the G-orbit of x is finite. There are two mutually exclusive possibilities:*

(i) *G is a finite group and $\Omega(G) = F$.*
(ii) *The set $\Omega(G)$ is meager.*

2. Periodic holonomy groups and holonomy groups of finite exponent

2.1. **Holonomy groups.** Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a codimension k holomorphic foliation on a complex manifold M. Given a point $p \in M$, the leaf through p is denoted by L_p. We denote by $\mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_p) = \mathrm{Hol}(L_p)$ the holonomy group of L_p. This is a conjugacy class of equivalence, and we shall denote by $\mathrm{Hol}(L_p, \Sigma_p, p)$ its representative given by the local representation of this holonomy calculated with respect to a local transverse section Σ_p centered at the point $p \in L_p$. The group $\mathrm{Hol}(L_p, \Sigma_p, p)$ is therefore a subgroup of the group of germs $\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma_p, p)$ which is identified with the group $\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ of germs at the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}^k$ of complex diffeomorphisms.

2.2. **Groups of finite exponent.** Next we present Burnside's and Schur's results on periodic linear groups. Let G be a group with identity $e_G \in G$. The group is *periodic* if each element of G has finite order. A periodic group G is *periodic of bounded exponent* if there is an uniform upper bound for the orders of its elements. This is equivalent to the existence of $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $g^m = 1$ for all $g \in G$ (cf. [10]). Because of this, a group which is periodic of bounded exponent is also called a group of *finite exponent*. The following classical results about finite exponent linear groups are due to Burnside and Schur.

Theorem 2.1 (Burnside, 1905 [3], Schur, 1911 [11])**.** *Let $G \subset \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ be a complex linear group.*

(i) (Burnside) *If G is of finite exponent ℓ (but not necessarily finitely generated) then G is finite; actually we have $|G| \leq \ell^{k^2}$.*
(ii) (Schur) *If G is finitely generated and periodic (not necessarily of bounded exponent) then G is finite.*

Now we shall use the above result in the study of finite exponent groups of germs of complex diffeomorphisms. The First step is the following:

2.3. **Holonomy groups of finite exponent.** Let us collect some results for groups of germs finite exponent. These results proved in [5] are stated below:

Lemma 2.2 ([10, 5])**.** *About periodic groups of germs of complex diffeomorphisms we have:*

(1) *A finitely generated periodic subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ is necessarily finite. A (not necessarily finitely generated) subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ of finite exponent is necessarily finite.*
(2) *Let $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ be a finitely generated subgroup. Assume that there is an invariant connected neighborhood W of the origin in $\mathbb{C}^k$ such that each point x is periodic for each element $g \in G$. Then G is a finite group.*
(3) *Let $G \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$ be a (not necessarily finitely generated) subgroup such that for each point x close enough to the origin, the pseudo-orbit of x is finite of (uniformly bounded) order $\leq \ell$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, then G is finite.*

Proposition 2.3 (Finiteness lemma, [5])**.** *Let G be a subgroup of holomorphic diffeomorphisms of a connected complex manifold F. Assume that:*

(1) *G is periodic of finite exponent or G is finitely generated and periodic.*

(2) *G has a finite orbit in F.*

Then G is finite.

3. Meagerness versus finiteness

Let us now prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. For sake of simplicity we will adopt the following notation: if a subset $X \subset M$ is not a zero measure subset then we shall write $\mu(X) > 0$. This may cause no confusion for we are not considering any specific measure μ on M and we shall be dealing only with the notion of zero measure subset. Nevertheless, we notice that if $X \subset M$ writes as a countable union $X = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} X_n$ of subsets $X_n \subset M$ then X has zero measure in M if and only if X_n has zero measure in M for *all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In terms of our notation we have therefore $\mu(X) > 0$ if and only if $\mu(X_n) > 0$ for *some* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us assume that $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ contains no leaf with finite holonomy and prove that $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ is meager. Because M is compact there is a finite number of relatively compact open discs $T_j \subset M$, $j = 1, ..., r$ such:

(1) Each T_j is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ and the closure $\overline{T_j}$ is contained in the interior of a transverse disc Σ_j to $\mathcal{F}$.
(2) Each leaf of $\mathcal{F}$ intersects at least one of the discs T_j.

Put $T = \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} T_j \subset M$ and define

$$\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T) = \{L \in \mathcal{F} : \#(L \cap T) < \infty\}.$$

Then $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n)$ where

$$\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n) = \{L \in \mathcal{F} : \#(L \cap T) \leq n\}.$$

Claim 3.1. *We have $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) = \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T)$.*

Proof. Indeed, given a leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$ if $L \notin \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T)$ then there is some j such that $\#(L \cap T_j) = \infty$. Since $\overline{T_j}$ is compact there is a point $p \in \Sigma_j$ belonging to the closure of L and which is accumulated by points in L. Since $p \in \Sigma_j$ which is transverse to $\mathcal{F}$ we conclude that L has infinitely many plaques intersecting any distinguished neighborhood of p in M and therefore L cannot be compact. Conversely, suppose that $L \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T)$ then L has only finitely many plaques in a (finite) covering of M by distinguished neighborhoods. Since M is compact this implies that L is compact. □

From now on we shall write $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$ for $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n)$ and simply $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ for $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, T)$. For the leaves $L \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$ we put $\mathrm{ord}(\mathcal{F}) := n$.

Because $\Omega(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$, it is then enough to show that and $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$ is meager for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next we claim:

Claim 3.2. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, given a leaf $L \subset \Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$, there is an open neighborhood $L \subset W \subset M$, such that $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n) \cap W$ is meager.*

Proof. Let us fix $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a leaf $L_0 \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}, n_0)$, there are two possibilities: either L_0 is isolated in $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n_0)$ or it is not. If L_0 is isolated in $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n_0)$ then we can find an open neighborhood $W(L_0)$ of L_0 in M such that $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n_0) \cap W(L_0) = L_0$. Assume now that L_0 is not isolated in $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n_0)$.

This means that for each neighborhood W of L_0 in M, we have infinitely many leaves in $\Omega(L_0, n_0)$ different from L_0.

Since the holonomy of L_0 is not finite (we are assuming that $\Omega(\mathcal{F})$ has no leaf with finite holonomy group), by Lemma 2.2 there is some holonomy map germ $h \in \mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_0)$ which is not a finite order map (notice that, since L_0 is compact, its fundamental group and, therefore, its holonomy group is finitely generated). □

Let therefore $L_0 \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}, T, n_0)$ be as above. We may choose a base point $p \in L_0 \cap T$ and a transverse disc $\Sigma_p \subset \overline{\Sigma}_p \subset T$ to $\mathcal{F}$ centered at p. Given a point $z \in \Sigma_p$ we denote the leaf through z by L_z. If $L_z \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}, n_0)$ then $\#(L_z \cap \Sigma_p) \leq n_0$.

Take now the correspondent of the holonomy map germ $h \in \mathrm{Hol}(\mathcal{F}, L_0, \Sigma_p, p)$. Let us choose a sufficiently small subdisc $W \subset \Sigma_p$ such that the germ h has a representative $h\colon W \to \Sigma_p$ such that the iterates $h, h^2, ..., h^{n_0!}$ are defined in W. Since any leaf $L \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}, n_0)$ intersects W in at most n_0 points, we have that either this intersection is empty or given any point $z \in L \cap W$ we have $h^{n_0!}(z) = z$. of the claim above we have $h^{\ell(z)}(z) = z$ for some $1 \leq \ell(z) \leq n_0$. For each $\ell \in \{1, ..., n_0\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ put $X(\ell, h) =: \{z \in W : h^\ell(z) = z\}$. Since h is analytic, $X(\ell, h)$ is an analytic subset of W. Because h is not a finite order map, $X(\ell, h)$ is a proper analytic subset of W, in particular it as closed subset of real codimension ≥ 2 with empty interior. From the above considerations we conclude that

$$\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n_0) \cap W \subset \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{n_0} X(\ell, h)$$

Claim 3.3. *Let a leaf $L \in \mathcal{F}$ be such that $L \subset \overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then L is compact, indeed $L \subset \Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$. In other words, the union of leaves in $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$ is a closed subset of M.*

Proof. Let $L \subset \overline{\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)}$. Since M is compact, if L is not compact, it is not closed. Suppose then by contradiction that L is not closed. There is an accumulation point $q_\infty \in \overline{L} \setminus L$. Given an *arbitrarily small* transverse disc Σ_{q_∞} to $\mathcal{F}$ centered at q_∞, we have $\#(L \cap \Sigma_{q_\infty}) = \infty$. Then there is a disc $T_j \subset T$ such that L_{q_∞} meets T_j at an interior point say, $q \in T_j$. Choose now a point $p \in L$ and a transverse disc Σ_p centered at p. By the Transverse uniformity lemma ([4]), there is a map from the disc Σ_p to Σ_{q_∞} and thus to the disc T_j. We conclude that, given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for any $w \in \Sigma_p$ close enough to p, we have $\#(L_w \cap T_j) \geq k + 1$. In particular, L_w has order greater than k. Since $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n) \cap \Sigma_p$ has an accumulation point at the origin $p \in \Sigma_p$, we get a contradiction. This shows that L is closed, therefore compact. Indeed, the above argumentation shows that if $\mathrm{ord}(L) \leq n$, so that indeed we have $L \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$. □

Using the two claims above we can conclude that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an open cover of M by open sets W such that $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n) \cap W$ is meager. Since M is finite, this open cover can be chosen to be finite and then this shows that $\Omega(\mathcal{F}, n)$ is a meager subset of M. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. If $\Omega(G) = \emptyset$ then it is meager. So we may assume that G has some periodic orbit. Then, thanks to Burnside's theorem (2.1) and and Proposition 2.3 (where the existence of a periodic orbit is required) it is enough to prove the following claim:

Claim 3.4. *If $\Omega(G)$ is not meager then G is a periodic group of finite exponent.*

proof of the claim. Assume that $\Omega(G)$ is not meager. We have $\Omega(G) = \{x \in F : \#\mathcal{O}_G(x) < \infty\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x \in F : \#\mathcal{O}_G(x) \leq k\}$, therefore there is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\Omega(G, k) := \{x \in F : \#\mathcal{O}_G(x) \leq$

$k\}$ is not meager. Given any diffeomorphism $f \in G$, the set $\Omega(f,k) := \{x \in F : \#\mathcal{O}_f(x) \leq k\} \supset \Omega(G,k)$ is not meager. Since $\Omega(f,k) \subset \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{k} \{x \in F : f^\ell(x) = x\}$, there is $k_f \leq k$ such that the set $X = \{x \in F : f^{k_f}(x) = x\}$ is not meager. Since $X \subset F$ is an analytic subset, this implies that $X = F$ (a proper analytic subset of a connected complex manifold (has real codimension ≥ 2 and therefore it) is a meager subset of F). Therefore, we have $f^{k_f} = \mathrm{Id}$ in F. This shows that G is periodic of finite exponent. □

□

References

[1] Brunella, Marco. *A global stability theorem for transversely holomorphic foliations*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 15 (1997), no. 2, 179–186.

[2] Brunella, Marco; Nicolau, Marcel. *Sur les hypersurfaces solutions des équations de Pfaff*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 329 (1999), no. 9, 793–795.

[3] Burnside, W.: *On criteria for the finiteness of the order of a group of linear substitutions*, Proc.London Math. Soc. (2) 3 (1905), 435-440.

[4] Camacho, César; Lins Neto, Alcides. *Geometry theory of foliations.* Translated from the Portuguese by Sue E. Goodman. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985. vi + 205 pp.

[5] César Camacho, Bruno Scárdua; *On the existence of stable compact leaves for transversely holomorphic foliations*. Topology and its Applications 160(13):1802 (2013).

[6] Godbillon, Claude. *Feuilletages* (French) [Foliations] Études géométriques [Geometric studies]. With a preface by G. Reeb. Progress in Mathematics, 98. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1991. xiv + 474 pp.

[7] A. Haefliger, NAISSANCE DES FEUILLETAGES, D'EHRESMANN-REEB À NOVIKOV. www.unige.ch/math/folks/haefliger/Feuilletages.pdf

[8] Jouanolou, Jean-Pierre. *Équations de Pfaff algèbriques*; Lecture Notes in Math. 708, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[9] Reeb, Georges. *Variétés feuilletées, feuilles voisines*; C.R.A.S. Paris 224 (1947), 1613-1614.

[10] Santos, Fábio; Scardua, Bruno. *Stability of complex foliations transverse to fibrations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), no. 9, 3083-3090.

[11] Schur, I.: *Über Gruppen periodischer substitutionen*, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. (1911), 619–627.